

Planning Comments

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2024 8:15 AM
To: Planning Comments
Subject: Planning Application Comments Form

CAUTION: This email originated from an **External Source**. Please **use caution** before opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. **Do not sign-in with your City of Las Vegas account credentials.**



Formstack Submission For: Planning App Comments
Submitted at 06/07/24 8:15 AM

RECEIVED
JUN 10 2024
Dept of Planning
City of Las Vegas

Meeting Date: Tuesday, June 18, 2024

Project Number: 24-0152

Position: I OPPOSE the project and all related applications.

Name: Greg Rost

Residential or Business Address: 1541 Rosita Dr
Las Vegas, NV 89123

Email: gostindrck@juno.com

Comments: They already have a tall temple they don't need another one blocking homeowner's views.

Copyright © 2024 Formstack, LLC. All rights reserved. This is a customer service email.

Formstack, 11671 Lantern Road, Suite 300, Fishers, IN 46038

Planning Comments

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2024 8:13 AM
To: Planning Comments
Subject: Planning Application Comments Form

CAUTION: This email originated from an **External Source**. Please **use caution** before opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. **Do not sign-in with your City of Las Vegas account credentials.**



Formstack Submission For: Planning App Comments Submitted at 06/07/24 8:13 AM		RECEIVED JUN 10 2024 Dept of Planning City of Las Vegas
Meeting Date:	Tuesday, June 18, 2024	
Project Number:	24-0152	
Position:	I OPPOSE the project and all related applications.	
Name:	Jeanne Omlie	
Residential or Business Address:	1541 Rosita Dr Las Vegas, NV 89123	
Email:	jomlie@hotmail.com	

Copyright © 2024 Formstack, LLC. All rights reserved. This is a customer service email.

Formstack, 11671 Lantern Road, Suite 300, Fishers, IN 46038

Planning Comments

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2024 5:16 PM
To: Planning Comments
Subject: Planning Application Comments Form

CAUTION: This email originated from an **External Source**. Please **use caution** before opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. **Do not sign-in with your City of Las Vegas account credentials.**



RECEIVED
JUN 10 2024
Dept of Planning
City of Las Vegas

Formstack Submission For: Planning App Comments
Submitted at 06/10/24 5:16 PM

Meeting Date:	Tuesday, June 18, 2024
Project Number:	24-0152
Position:	I SUPPORT the project and all related applications.
Name:	Catherine Roberts
Residential or Business Address:	7708 Turtle Haven Ct Las Vegas, NV 89149
Phone:	(702) 569-3226
Email:	boobuttercup@hotmail.com

Copyright © 2024 Formstack, LLC. All rights reserved. This is a customer service email.

Formstack, 11671 Lantern Road, Suite 300, Fishers, IN 46038

Item 62

Balancing Development and Community
Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Amber Riley
9628 Cherry Canyon Ave
Las Vegas, NV 89129
Amberglur@gmail.com
714-244-5118
June 3, 2024

Las Vegas City Council
495 S. Main St.,
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Dear City Council Representative,

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing to respectfully request your consideration of several examples of LDS temples whose heights and design have been carefully considered to align with their environments. Additionally, I have included instances where temples were initially proposed with much taller spires but were subsequently adjusted to preserve views, skylines, and maintain harmony with their surroundings.

Understanding the importance of maintaining the aesthetic and integrity of our community, I believe these examples demonstrate the LDS Church's commitment to working collaboratively with local authorities and residents. I kindly ask that you consider these precedents in your deliberations on the upcoming land development proposal.


Thank you for your attention to this matter. I appreciate your dedication to our community and your thoughtful consideration of how we can balance development with preserving the character of our surroundings.

Warm regards,

Amber Riley

Balancing Development and Community
Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Helena Montana Temple (2023)



Initial Proposed Height: 117 feet

Final Height: 95 feet

Reason for Change: Adjustments were made to meet local zoning laws and to ensure the building was in harmony with the surrounding historical and architectural context.

Balancing Development and Community
Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Feather River California Temple (2023)



Initial Proposed Height: 125 feet

Final Height: 110 feet

Reason for Change: Revisions were made following discussions with city planners and community feedback to ensure the temple design was in harmony with local building codes and neighborhood expectations.

Balancing Development and Community
Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Moses Lake Washington Temple (2023)



Initial Proposed Height: 135 feet

Final Height: 120 feet

Reason for Change: The height reduction was due to local government regulations and to maintain the aesthetic balance with the environment and existing structures.

Balancing Development and Community

Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Pocatello Idaho Temple (2021)




Initial Proposed Height: 189 feet

Final Height: 168 feet

Reason for Change: Adjusted to better comply with local zoning regulations and to address community concerns about the impact on the skyline.

Balancing Development and Community
Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Tucson Arizona Temple (2017)



Initial Proposed Height: 120 feet

Final Height: 104 feet

Reason for Change: The height was reduced following feedback from the local community and city planners to ensure the temple fit harmoniously with the surrounding desert landscape and neighborhood aesthetics.

In addition to the height reduction, the temple's design was also adjusted to better fit the aesthetic context of Tucson's unique desert environment. This included modifications to the exterior materials and color palette to ensure the building harmonized with the natural surroundings.

Balancing Development and Community

Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Phoenix Arizona Temple (2014)



Initial Proposed Height: Approximately 110 feet

Final Height: 89 feet

Reason for Change: The height was reduced in response to local community concerns and zoning regulations, which stipulated lower building heights to preserve the skyline and local aesthetics

Balancing Development and Community
Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

Redlands California Temple (2003)



Initial Proposed Height: Approximately 130 feet

Final Height: 90 feet

Reason for Change: The height was reduced to ensure the building integrated well with the local community and met the requirements set by local zoning regulations

Balancing Development and Community

Lone Mountain LDS Temple Proposal

I respectfully request that you consider these examples when reviewing the Lone Mountain LDS Temple proposal.

You have the ability to help us maintain the beauty and character of our community. Your support and strength on this issue are crucial to preserving this special rural style neighborhood in our city. The residents of the Lone Mountain area are depending on your leadership.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Amber Riley